SOLICITOR

◆ AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

SEP - 6 2007

	Mail Stop 8	RADEMARK OFFICE REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
TO:	Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
•	P.O. Box 1450	ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR
	Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	TRADEMARK

DEFENDANT REEDOM WAVE LLC DATE OF PATENT TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK Aug. 28, 2001 FREEDOM WAVE LLC DATE OF PATENT TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK Aug. 28, 2001 FREEDOM WAVE LLC DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK FREEDOM WAVE LLC DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK Aug. 28, 2001 FREEDOM WAVE LLC DATE INCLUDED In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED NOTE TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	Alexa	alturia, VA 22010-1-100	IKADEWAKK		
Ricd in the U.S. District Court Central District of California on the following Patents or Trademarks: Trademarks DATE FILED 02/07/2007 U.S. DISTRICT COURT O2/07/2007 DEFENDANT GRIFFIN INTERNATIONAL COMPANIES IN CREACT, an REACTGAMER, INC., PATENT OR TRADEMARK	In Complia	nce with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or	15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been		
DEFENDANT REEDOM WAVE LLC PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 1 6,280,327 B1 Aug. 28, 2001 FREEDOM WAVE LLC 2 6,878,066 B2 Apr. 12, 2005 FREEDOM WAVE LLC DATE INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR OR TRADEMARK PATENT OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT LERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE					
PATENT OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:	07-908 JFW		U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
Aug. 28, 2001 FREEDOM WAVE LLC General Section of Patent of Patent of Patent of Patent of Trademark (s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK DUUTILIE DOWN MAR 2 7 2001 MAR 2 7 2001 DECISION/JUDGEMENT LERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	PLAINTIFF (MAI FREEDOM WAVE LLC	NX)	GRIFFIN INTERNATIONAL COMPANIES IN CREACT, and REACTGAMER, INC.,		
Aug. 28, 2001 REEDOM WAVE LLC Apr. 12, 2005 FREEDOM WAVE LLC Apr. 12, 2005 Apr. 12, 2006 Apr. 12, 2007 Apr. 12, 2					
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY	1 6,280,327 B1	Aug. 28, 2001	FREEDOM WAVE LLC		
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT DATE (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	2 6,878,066 B2	Apr. 12, 2005	FREEDOM WAVE LLC		
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT DATE	3				
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED	4				
PATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK MAR 2 7 2000 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	5				
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT			
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE					
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE			DOG: TED !		
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	1		MAR 2 7 2001		
DECISION/JUDGEMENT CLERK (BY) DEPUTY CLERK DATE	-		BY DE		
	In the abo				
	DECISION/JUDGEMENT	ove-entitled case, the following	decision has been rendered or judgement issued:		
SHERRI R CARTER (1) 5-20-07	DECISION/JUDGEMENT	ove—entitled case, the following	decision has been rendered or judgement issued:		
	DECISION/JUDGEMENT	-			


Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

LODGED

DENNIS J. SMITH (SBN 233842) djsmith@sheppardmullin.com Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP 333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel. 213-620-1780 Fax. 213-620-1398

FREEDOM WAVE LLC,



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NUMBER

	CV 07-908 JFW (MANx)
Plaintiff(s), v. RIFFIN INTERNATIONAL COMPANIES, INC., EACT, AND REACTGAMER, INC., Defendant(s)	NOTICE OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT RULE 41(a) or (c) F.R.Civ.P.
Defendant(s).	
EASE TAKE NOTICE: (Check one)	
This artist is dismissed by the Digitity's) in its entire	ON THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
This action is dismissed by the Plaintiff(s) in its entire	en BMITHOUT, LYETODICE
The Counterclaim brought by Claimant(s)	is
dismissed by Claimant(s) in its entirety.	.*
☐ The Cross-Claim brought by Claimants(s)	is
dismissed by the Claimant(s) in its entirety.	
The Third-party Claim brought by Claimant(s)	is
dismissed by the Claimant(s) in its entirety.	
ONLY Defendant(s)	
ONDI Detendant(s)	
is/are dismissed from (check one) ☐ Complaint, ☐ C	Counterclaim, Cross-claim, Third-Party Claim
brought by	·
The dismissal is made pursuant to Rule 41(a) or (c) of the	e Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
The dishibodi to hade persuant to reason (eyer an	DOCKETED ON CA
	JOHETED ON CH
	MAY 3 2007
May 30, 2007	
Date	Signature of Attorney/Party BY 0

NOTE: F.R.Civ.P. 41(a): This notice may be filed at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer or of a motion for summary judgment, whichever first occurs.

F.R.Civ.P. 41(c): Counterclaims, cross-claims & third-party claims may be dismissed before service of a responsive pleading or prior to the beginning of trial.